Evolving geo-temporal social networks and their applications

Cecilia Mascolo Computer Laboratory

Networks Day May 2013, Cambridge, UK.













0 9



Geo-social network analysis can lead to insights into social behaviour

Cambridge Uni	versity Botanic	V V SAVE	
Gardens 1 Brookside, Cambridge, UK, United College Cafeteria (Edit) BATEMAN ST	BATEMAN MEWS Get directions Lynch Walk Sainsbury Laboratory	YOUR CHECK-INS 0TOTAL PEOPLETOTAL CHECK-INS 2450194245Image: Calify the second seco	
Report a problem	Cambridge About our maps	1 friend has been here	
Photos	See all 28 photos	Similar places Cambridge University Centre, Cambridge Central Library, Wolfson College, New Museums Site, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Library Explore Nearby Restaurants, Nightlife, Shopping, Top Picks	





What can we study with this type of data?

- Relationship of friendship and distance
- Relationship of interaction and distance
- Human mobility and role of places
- Models for geo-social network evolution
- Evolution of communities in space
- Applications:
 - Recommendations, advertisement
 - Urban planning
 - Epidemics control



Human Mobility and Role of Places



A tale of many cities: universal patterns in human urban mobility. Anastasios Noulas, Salvatore Scellato, Renaud Lambiotte, Massimiliano Pontil, Cecilia Mascolo. In PLoS ONE. PLoS ONE 7(5): e37027. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037027.



Samuel A. Stouffer

Stouffer's **law of intervening opportunities** states, "The number of persons going a given distance is directly proportional to the number of opportunities at that distance and inversely proportional to the number of intervening opportunities." *



Empirically proved over migration data from Cleveland. Is it true in our data?

The importance of density



Place density by far more important than city area size with respect to mean length of human movements.



Rank distance



 $rank_u(v) = |\{w : d(u, w) < d(u, v)\}|$



Rank universality



The rank of all cities collapses to a single line. We have measured a power law exponent $\alpha = 0.84 \pm 0.07$



A new model for urban mobility







Simulation results ...





Modelling Geo Social Network Evolution



Evolution of a Location-based Online Social Network: Analysis and Models. Militiadis Allamanis, Salvatore Scellato and Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC 2012). Boston, MA. November 2012.

Geo-Social network evolution...

- How would Foursquare evolve in 2 months?
- What are the factor that shape geo-social network evolution?
- Why would we be interested in forecasting evolution?
 - Design of distributed storage solutions.
 - Delivery of user generated content.
 - Recommendation.





Modelling the growth of (online) social networks



- A common feature of social (and other) networks is a skewed degree distribution, and preferential attachment can reproduce it: popular users attract more links.
- This fails to generates triangles, which are instead present: triadic closure needs to be introduced.
- Several variations of these ideas have been explored to model social networks.



Attachment in geo-social networks





Temporal evolution of a spatial social network

- Daily snapshots of Gowalla data May to Aug. 2010. Information about user profiles, friends and check-ins.
- We study temporal network growth:
 - social edges creation and speed
 - social triangles creation
 - mobility and space impact



Properties at the end of measurement period						
Nodes	122,414					
Social links	580,446					
Average degree	9.48					
Average clustering coefficient	0.254					
Average distance between friends [km]	1,792					
Average distance between users [km]	5,663					

Global attachment models

For each **new edge** created from user A to user B we compute the probability of being created according to different models (for different parameters).





- D: proportional to a power
 α of the degree of user B
- A: prop. to a power α of the age of user B
- S: inversely prop. to a power α of the geographic distance between A and B
- DS: prop. to the degree of user B and inversely prop.
 to a power α of the geographic distance between A and B.

Predominance of triangle-closing links

Number of new links



New edges are exponentially more likely to connect people sharing at least one friend, creating social triangles.































Social attachment models



Intermediate node models

	random	shared	degree	distance	gravity
random	12.34	9.48	-3.47	-28.17	-35.26
shared	14.54	11.47	-0.95	-24.74	-34.46
degree	7.33	5.16	-6.79	-25.17	-41.98
distance	-0.92	-3.70	-16.94	-39.32	-41.53
gravity	2.71	0.25	-12.11	-33.01	-43.18

Triadic closure is mainly driven by social processes, while geographic distance is not an important factor.



Percentage improvement on random choice (2 hop)

What about geography?

30% of new edges are established between users that share at least one **common place**.

10% of new links are created between users that do share **common places**, but *no* common friends

A **social only** model would **fail** to reproduce that users create new social connections beyond their 2-hop neighborhood.



Effect of distance

Distance of new links



Geographic proximity appears complementary to social closeness: **being close in space connects people at large social distances.**



Mobility-driven attachment





Choosing friends geographically

Select users who visit the same places

Select a visited place:

- Visited by many friends
- Visited by the user many times
- Very popular
- Close

Select a user:

- Popular
- Active
- Close to the place



ADD AS FRIEND



Place-user choice

distance

-19.36

-14.88

-19.69

-13.15

-14.19

-43.67

-19.60

-4.51

-1.56

-4.80

0.04

-1.08

-5.29

gravity

-7.04

-1.71

-7.41

-0.02

-0.84

-30.17

-6.81



proportional to user's degree and inversely proportional the logarithm of user's total number of visited places;



Putting it all together



gravity model

Distance & degree are important on a global level

Local Social

random-random model *Triangle closure not affected by distance*





tot-checkins - degree model

Popular places are important Small-scale preferential attachment



Putting all pieces together: a new growth model

1. A **new node** joins the network and positions itself over the space;



- 2. It samples its **lifetime** from an exponential distribution;
- 3. The new node adds its first edge according to a **preferential attachment** or **gravity model**;
- 4. The node samples a **time gap** from the degreedependent distribution and then goes to sleep for that time gap;
- 5. When a node wakes up, if its lifetime has not expired yet with probability p the node uses the random-random social triangle-closing model, otherwise it uses the tot-checkins – degree mobility- based closure.
- 6. The node repeats step 4.



Degree and link length distribution

Degree distribution is generally similar for all models

Link length shows the precision of gravity based models





Friend distance and triangle length

Friend distance and triangle length of gravity based models correlation with degree are matching the data





Understanding community evolution and role of places



A Place-focused Model for Social Network Formation in Cities. Chloë Brown, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo, Vincent Blondel. NetMob 2013. Boston, MA. May 2013.

Place-friend vs social networks

 People who have checked in at a place in a given city, and their friends who have also checked in at those places.

What do these place-friend networks look like?





Place-friend networks

• **Degree**: power-law distribution





Distribution of community sizes



Intra-community links

Proportion of communities having intra-community ties which are place friends.

More than 30% of social communities have less than 10% placefriends.

More than 80% of local communities have more than 90% placefriends.

Community Detection on the social graph might not capture local communities.





Temporal community evolution

FORMED EDGES



FRIENDS -> PLACEFIRENDS

Number of appearing links inside communities wrt to random appearance of links: Local communities could be very good predictors.



Number of placefriends appearing: local communities are again good predictors.



The role of places



Places vital for tie formation

>70% of triangles have one place shared between all three people.

Clustering around certain places These places could act as foci for tie formation...





Role of categories...

• What is the role of place categories?



Probability of friendship between **colocated people** at places in each Foursquare **category**

Some kinds of places are **much more likely to reinforce friendship** than others.

Friend recommedation



Exploiting Place Features in Link Prediction on Location-based Social
Networks, Salvatore Scellato, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of 17th ACM International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD 2011). San Diego, USA. August 2011.
The Importance of Being Placefriends: Discovering Location-focused Online

Communities. Chloë Brown, Vincenzo Nicosia, Salvatore Scellato, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo. In ACM SIGCOMM Workshop on Online Social Networks (WOSN 2012). Helsinki, Finland. August 2012.

Place recommedation



Mining User Mobility Features for Next Place Prediction in Location-based Services. Anastasios Noulas, Salvatore Scellato, Neal Lathia and Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM 2012). Short Paper. Brussels, Belgium. December 2012.

A Random Walk Around the City: New Venue Recommendation in Location-Based Social Networks. Anastasios Noulas, Salvatore Scellato, Neal Lathia and Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of ASE/IEEE International Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom). Amsterdam, The Netherlands. September 2012.

More modelling



Talking Places: Modelling and Analysing Linguistic Content in Foursquare. Sandro Bauer, Anastasios Noulas, Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, Stephen Clark and Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of ASE/IEEE International Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom). Amsterdam, The Netherlands. September 2012.

Exploiting Foursquare and Cellular Data to Infer User Activity in Urban Environments. Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo and Enrique Frias-Martinez. In Proceedings of 14th International Conference on Mobile Data Management (MDM 2013). Milan, Italy. June 2013.

The length of bridge ties: structural and geographic properties of online social interactions. Yana Volkovich, Salvatore Scellato, David Laniado, Cecilia Mascolo and Andreas Kaltenbrunner. In Proceedings of Sixth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM 2012). Full Paper. Dublin, Ireland. June 2012.

Far from the eyes, close on the Web: impact of geographic distance on online social interactions. Andreas Kaltenbrunner, Salvatore Scellato, Yana Volkovich, David Laniado, Dave Currie, Erik J. Jutemar, Cecilia Mascolo. In ACM SIGCOMM Workshop on Online Social Networks (WOSN 2012). Helsinki, Finland. August 2012.

References



- A tale of many cities: universal patterns in human urban mobility. Anastasios Noulas, Salvatore Scellato, Renaud Lambiotte, Massimiliano Pontil, Cecilia Mascolo. In PLoS ONE. PLoS ONE 7(5): e37027. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037027
- Evolution of a Location-based Online Social Network: Analysis and Models. Militiadis Allamanis, Salvatore Scellato and Cecilia Mascolo. In Proceedings of ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC 2012). Boston, MA. November 2012.
- A Place-focused Model for Social Network Formation in Cities. Chloë Brown, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo, Vincent Blondel. NetMob 2013. Boston, MA. May 2013.
- Social and place-focused communities in location-based online social networks. Chloë Brown, Vincenzo Nicosia, Salvatore Scellato, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo. To appear in European Physical Journal B.



Acks..of course!

Militiadis Allamanis Vincent Blondel Chloë Brown Renaud Lambiotte Vincenzo Nicosia Anastasios Noulas Massi Pontil Salvatore Scellato



Thanks! Questions?

Cecilia Mascolo

@cecim
cecilia.mascolo@cl.cam.ac.uk
www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/cm542



